

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1440 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/892,345	06/26/2001	Gregory Carlson	7651/1612	3543
75	90 06/19/2003			
Novartis Corporation Corporate intellectual Property One Health Plaza, Bldg. 430			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
East Hanover, NJ 07936-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	4
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	さ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,345	CARLSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rabon Sergent	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	on 19 March 2002	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed2a)□ This action is FINAL.2b)	on <u>ro march 2003</u> . ⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	_	tters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) <u>8-11,55 and 56</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 46</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
Applicant may not request that any objecti		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed or		isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required 12). The oath or declaration is objected to by		
	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 H.S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Toreign priority under 35 0.5.0.	g 119(a)-(d) or (i).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	sumants have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		polication No
Copies of the certified c		
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	Iomestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languants)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	- ,	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/892,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-11, 46, 55, and 56 in Paper No. 7, filed March 18, 2003 is acknowledged.

2. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 46 refers to the prepolymer of claim 44; however, claim 44 is drawn to a method.

3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The value 1.1.03 within line 2 of each claim is improper.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Within claim 1, it is unclear that the multifunctional compound is mutually exclusive from reactants (b) or (c), because there is no requirement that the functionality exceed two. Furthermore, it is unclear that the multifunctional compound is to be reactive with (b) or (c).

Within claims 2 and 3, it is not clear that component (c) is a reactant, since no functional groups have been specified. Furthermore, within claim 3, it is unclear if component (c) is mutually exclusive from component (a).

Art Unit: 1711

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knopf et al. ('542) or Hoy et al. ('605).

Patentees disclose the production of low viscosity prepolymers from diols, triols, and diisocyanates. See column 3, lines 8+ and column 7 within Knopf et al. See column 4, lines 40+ within Hoy et al.

7. Claims 8-11, 55, and 56 are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

June 16, 2003